

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

لعا

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,700	06/26/2001	Vijayakumar R. Dhuler	9134-32CT	2751
20792	7590 06/11/	002		
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 37 RALEIGH,			LE, DANG D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisor Advisor	09/891,700	DHULER			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
÷	Dang D Le	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	_				
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply a selater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-11,35,36,40 and 42-44.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)⊟ approved or b)⊟ disappr	oved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
0. Other:		22			
		ALETOTOD PLANSING D			
		NESTOR RAMIREZ PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER PECPRISOLOSY GENTER 2800			
. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The U. S. Patent Number 6,137,206 issued to Hill could not be disqualified as a prior art although Cronos was acquired by JDS Uniphase on August 18, 2000. M. P. E. P. 706.02(I), fourth paragraph clearly states that "commonly onwed' means wholly owned or by the same person(s), or organization(s) at the time the invention was made." However, the time the invention was made is August 25, 1999 which is the filing date of the parent application, serial number 09/383,053.